



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**STABILITY AND RUPTURE OF LIQUID FILM
FLOWING DOWN AN INCLINED PLANE**

MUATAZ ALI ATIEH

FK 2001 22

**STABILITY AND RUPTURE OF LIQUID FILM
FLOWING DOWN AN INCLINED PLANE**

By

MUATAZ ALI ATIEH

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

October 2001



DEDICATED

To

My Parents, brothers and my sister

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**STABILITY AND RUPTURE OF LIQUID FILM FLOWING DOWN AN
INCLINED PLANE**

By

MUATAZ ALI ATIEH

October 2001

Chairman: Dr. Ahmad Tariq Jameel

Faculty: Engineering

Liquid film flowing down inclined or vertical planes find applications in thin film heat and mass transfer, wetted wall columns, liquid drainage in packed columns, surface coating operations, and the like.

The film is modeled as a two-dimensional Newtonian liquid of constant density ρ and viscosity μ flowing down an inclined plane. The liquid film of mean thickness h_0 is bounded above by a passive gas and laterally extends to infinity (two-dimensional model). Then such a flow can be represented by a two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation coupled with continuity equation and associated boundary conditions. The body force term in the Navier-Stokes equation is modified by the inclusion of excess intermolecular interactions between fluid film and the solid surface owing to long-range van der Waals force, in addition to gravity force. The modified Navier-Stokes equation

with associated boundary conditions is solved under long wave approximation method to obtain a nonlinear equation of evolution of the film interface.

A nonlinear theory based upon the condition of infinitesimal perturbation on the film surface is derived to obtain the growth coefficient, dominant wavelength (i.e., wavelength corresponding to maximum growth coefficient of the surface instability) and the film rupture time.

The nonlinear equation of evolution is solved numerically in conservative form as part of an initial-value problem for spatially periodic boundary condition on the fixed domain $0 < x < 2\pi/k$, where k is a wavenumber. Centered difference in space and the midpoint (Crank-Nicholson) rule in time are employed. The mesh size is taken sufficiently small so that space and time errors are negligible. The nonlinear algebraic equations obtained as a result of finite difference discretization are solved using efficient-numerical technique employing IMSL subroutine DNEQNJ.

The nonlinear simulation shows that the dominant wavelengths (corresponding to minimum time) for film rupture are very close to the prediction of the linear theory for all types of films. There seems to be no influence of surface inclination on the instability of thin films. Inclination does influence the growth of instability in thick films. The film rupture time increases with increasing film thickness for inclined planes. Increase in the amplitude of perturbation results into decreased time of rupture. The deviations between the predictions of nonlinear and linear theory results are minimum around dominant

wavelength. The linear theory may overestimate or underestimates the time of rupture by several orders of magnitude depending upon thin film parameters. Hence linear theory is inadequate to describe the stability characteristics of inclined films and therefore, the need of a nonlinear approach to the study of inclined film dynamics.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
Sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

**KESTABILAN DAN PEMECAHAN PADA LAPISAN CECAIR
YANG MENGALIR PADA SATAH CONDONG**

Oleh

MUATAZ ALI ATIEH

Oktober 2001

Pengerusi: Dr. Ahmad Tariq Jameel

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Saput cecair yang mengalir ke bawah pada permukaan yang condong/tegak dijumpa kegunaannya dalam pemindahan haba dan jisim dalam kolum dinding dibasahi, pengaliran cecair di dalam kolum padat dan operasi penyaduran permukaan.

Saput dimodelkan sebagai satu cecair Newtonian dua-dimensi dengan ketumpatan malar, ρ dan kelikatan, μ yang mengalir ke bawah satu permukaan condong. Saput cecair dengan ketebalan purata h_0 disempadankan atas satu gas passive dan sisinya dikembangkan kepada yang keterhadan(model dua-dimensi). Oleh demikian, pengaliran ini boleh diwakili oleh satu persamaan Navier-Stokes 2 – dimensi bersamaan dengan persamaan berterusan dan keadaan sempadan yang bersepadan. Kata-kata daya badan dalam persamaan Navier-Stokes adalah diubahsuaikan dengan penglibatan kesalingtindakan antara molekul yang berlebihan antara saput dan permukaan pepejal yang disebabkan oleh daya Van der Waals yang berjarak-panjang. Persamaan Navier-

Stokes yang telah berubah sesuai bersamaan dengan keadaan sempadan adalah diselesaikan bawah kaedah pendekatan gelombang jauh untuk mendapat satu persamaan bukan linear bagi pengembangan pada ketidakstabilan saput.

Satu teori bukan linear berasaskan keadaan penggangguan ketidakterhadan pada permukaan saput telah dihasilkan untuk memperolehi pekali penubuhan, jarak gelombang dominant (contohnya : jarak gelombang berkaitan dengan pekali penubuhan maximum pada permukaan ketidakstabilan) dan masa perpecahan saput.

Persamaan bukan linear bagi evolusi adalah diselesaikan secara numerical dalam bentuk keabadian sebagai sebahagian daripada satu masalah nilai permula bagi keadaan sempadan periodik yang wujud dalam ruang pada domain yang ditetapkan , ($0 < x < 2\pi/k$) . Pembezaan tengah dalam ruangan dan peraturan titik tengah (Crank –Nicholson) dalam masa digunakan. Saiz mesh yang dipakai adalah cukup kecil supaya ralat ruangan dan masa boleh diabaikan. Persamaan algebra bukan linear diperolehi sebagai keputusan pembezaan finite adalah diselesaikan dengan penggunaan teknik numerical-berkesan , IMSL subroutine DNEQNJ.

Simulasi bukan linear menunjukkan jarak gelembong dominant (berkaitan kepada masa minimum) bagi pemecahan raput adalah sangat dekat dengan jangkaan oleh teori linear bagi semua jenis raput. Ini menunjukkan tiada pengaruh oleh kecondongan oleh ketidakstabilan bagi raput nipis. Kecondongan akan pengaruh penambahan ketidakstabilan dalam raput tebal. Masa pemecahan pemecahan bertambah

dengan penambahan ketebalan raput bagi ketebalan condong. Penambahan dalam kebesaran pengganggu menyebabkan kekurangan masa pemecahan keputusan Perbezaan antara jangkaan daripada teori bukan linear dan keputusan teori linear adalah minimum disekitar jarak gelembong dominant. Teori linear mungkin menaksir terlampau atau terkurang masa pemecahan oleh beberapa cara magnitute bergantung pada parameter raput nipis. Sebab itu, teori linear adalah kemungkinan besar adalah kurang tepat untuk menerangkan kestabilan raput tercondong dan oleh demikian perlulah satu pendekatan bukan linear kepada pengajian dinamik raput tercondong.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Every praise is due to Allah alone, the Merciful and peace be upon His prophet who is forever a torch of guidance and knowledge for humanity as a whole.

I am very much thankful to Dr. Ahmad Tariq Jameel. He provided expert guidance and valuable supervision throughout this research project that only expert could offer. I would also like to thank my supervisory committee. Dr. Fakhru'l Razi Ahmadun and Dr.Sunny E. Iyuke for their continuous contribution.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 11th October 2001 to conduct the final examination of Muataz Ali Atieh on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Stability and Rupture of Liquid Film Flowing Down an Inclined Plane” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be award the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Thomas Choong Shean Yaw, Ph.D.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Tariq Janeel, Ph.D.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Fakhru'l Razi Ahmadun, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Sunny Esayegbemu Iyuke, Ph.D.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)



MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 23 OCT 2001

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.



AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.

Professor/
Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 DEC 2009

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotation and citations, which have been duly, acknowledge. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



Muataz Ali Atieh Hussien

Date: 19 - 10 - 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	x
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiii
 CHAPTER	
 I INTRODUCTION	1
Scope of the study	3
Objective of study	4
 II LITERATURE REVIEW	5
Introduction	5
Van der Waals	11
Inclined Plane	15
 III METHODOLOGY	41
Mathematical Formulation	41
Scaling of the hydrodynamic Equations	43
Long-Wave theory	45
Linear Stability analysis	49
 IV NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE NONLINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATION	51
 V RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	55
Linear Theory	55
Result from Nonlinear Simulation	58
Effect of Inclination	63
Effect of Mean Film Thickness	66
Effect of the amplitude of disturbance on the Rupture Time	69
Comparison of prediction from Nonlinear and Linear Theories	75
Depiction of the growth of instability (film profiles)	79

IV	CONCLUSION	84
	RECOMMENDATIONS	87
	REFERENCES	88
	APPENDIX A	94
	APPENDIX B	108
	APPENDIX C	118
	BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	124

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Technological Impact of Thin Film Research	2
2	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 0$ & $h_0 = 10$ nm from linear theory	56
3	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 0$ & $h_0 = 100$ nm from linear theory	56
4	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 0$ & $h_0 = 200$ nm from linear theory	57
5	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 0$ & $h_0 = 300$ nm from linear	57
6	Comparison of our FD results with literature values for completely aploar van der Waals case at plane surface ($\theta = 0^\circ$) and nondimensional amplitude of 0.1	58
A1	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 10$ & $h_0 = 10$ nm from linear theory	94
A2	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 10$ & $h_0 = 50$ nm from linear theory	94
A3	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 10$ & $h_0 = 100$ nm from linear theory	95
A4	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 10$ & $h_0 = 200$ nm from linear theory	95
A5	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 10$ & $h_0 = 300$ nm from linear theory	95
A6	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 45$ & $h_0 = 10$ nm from linear theory	96
A7	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 45$ & $h_0 = 50$ nm from linear theory	96
A8	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta = 45$ & $h_0 = 100$ nm from linear theory	96

A9	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=45$ & $h_0=200$ nm from linear theory	97
A10	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=45$ & $h_0=300$ nm from linear theory	97
A11	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=60$ & $h_0=10$ nm from linear theory	97
A12	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=60$ & $h_0=50$ nm from linear theory	98
A13	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=60$ & $h_0=100$ nm from linear theory	98
A14	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=60$ & $h_0=200$ nm from linear theory	98
A15	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=60$ & $h_0=300$ nm from linear theory	99
A16	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=90$ & $h_0=10$ nm from linear theory	99
A17	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=90$ & $h_0=50$ nm from linear theory	99
A18	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=90$ & $h_0=100$ nm from linear theory	100
A19	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=90$ & $h_0=200$ nm from linear theory	100
A20	Rupture time and growth rate as function of wavelength & wavenumber at $\theta=90$ & $h_0=300$ nm from linear theory	100
A21	Rupture time at $h_0=10$ nm and different inclinations from nonlinear equation	101
A22	Rupture time at $h_0=50$ nm and different inclinations from nonlinear equation	101
A23	Rupture time at $h_0=100$ nm and different inclinations from nonlinear equation	101
A24	Rupture time at $h_0=200$ nm and different inclinations from nonlinear equation	102

A25	Rupture time at $h_0=300\text{nm}$ and different inclinations from nonlinear equation	102
A26	Rupture Time at $\theta=0$ and different h_0	102
A27	Rupture Time at $\theta=10$ and different h_0	103
A28	Rupture Time at $\theta=45$ and different h_0	103
A29	Rupture Time at $\theta=60$ and different h_0	103
A30	Rupture Time at $\theta=90$ and different h_0	104
A31	Ratio of rupture time as calculated from nonlinear theory and linear theory $h_0=10$	104
A32	Ratio of rupture time as calculated from nonlinear theory and linear theory $h_0=50$	104
A33	Ratio of rupture time as calculated from nonlinear theory and linear theory at $h_0=100$	105
A34	Ratio of rupture time as calculated from nonlinear theory and linear theory at $h_0=200$	105
A35	Ratio of rupture time as calculated from nonlinear theory and linear theory at $h_0=300$	105
A36	Ratio of rupture time with different mean film thickness different $\theta=0$ and dominate wavelength	106
A37	Rupture time as function of wavelength at different ε with $\theta=0$ & $h_0=10\text{nm}$	107
A38	Rupture time as function of wavelength at different ε with $\theta=45$ & $h_0=200\text{ nm}$	107

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		page
1	Snapshot of the Reyleight-Taylor instability of a silicone-oil on the underside of a horizontal plane.	6
2	Photographs of a silicone-oil on a nonuniformly heated plate: a dimpled film when the heat flux is sufficiently low; The nearly bare regions that result at larger heat fluxes	7
3	(a) Synchronous 3D instability of 2D periodic waves. A snapshot taken at the inclination angle of 6.4° , Reynolds number of 72, and imposed perturbation frequency of 10.0 Hz. (b) A herringbone (or checkerboard) pattern due to 3D sub harmonic instability. A snapshot taken at the inclination angle of 4° , Reynolds number of 50.5, and imposed perturbation frequency 14 Hz	9
4	The various forms of sheet flow down an inclined plane. The marked horizontal lines in each photograph are 5 cm apart: (a) silicone-oil MS200/100 (b) the flow of glycerin down a slope.	10
5	The physical configuration of thin layer flowing down an inclined plane	42
6	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=10$ nm and $\theta=0$	59
7	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=10$ nm and $\theta=10$	59
8	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=10$ nm and $\theta=45$	60
9	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=10$ nm and $\theta=60$	60
10	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=200$ nm and $\theta=10$	61
11	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300$ nm and $\theta=45$	62
12	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300$ nm and $\theta=60$	62

13	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300$ nm and $\theta=90$	63
14	Rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_0 = 10$ nm and different inclination	64
15	Rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_0=50$ nm and different inclination	64
16	Rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_0 =100$ nm and different inclination	65
17	Rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_0 =200$ nm and different inclination	65
18	Rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_0 =300$ nm and different inclination	66
19	Rupture times as function of wavelength at different h_0 and $\theta=0$	67
20	Rupture times as function of wavelength at different h_0 and $\theta=10$	67
21	Rupture times as function of wavelength at different h_0 and $\theta=45$	68
22	Rupture times as function of wavelength at different h_0 and $\theta=60$	68
23	Rupture times as function of wavelength at different h_0 and $\theta=90$	69
24	Rupture Time as function of amplitude of perturbation at $\theta=0$, $h_0=10$ nm & $k_m=0.7$	70
25	Rupture Time as function of amplitude of perturbation at $\theta=45$, $h_0=10$ nm & $k_m=0.7$	71
26	Rupture Time as function of amplitude of perturbation at $\theta=90$, $h_0=10$ nm & $k_m=0.7$	71
27	Rupture Time as function of amplitude of perturbation at $\theta=0$, $h_0=300$ nm & $k_m=0.9$	72
28	Rupture Time as function of amplitude of perturbation at $\theta=45$, $h_0=300$ nm & $k=0.9$	72
29	Rupture Time as function of amplitude of perturbation at $\theta=90$, $h_0=300$ nm & $k=0.9$	73

30	Nonlinear mode selection (T_N vs. wavelength) fat $h_o=10$ nm and $\theta=0$	74
31	Nonlinear mode selection (T_N vs. wavelength) at $h_o=200$ nm and $\theta=45$	74
32	Ratio of rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_o=10$ nm & different inclination	75
33	Ratio of rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_o=50$ nm & different inclination	76
34	Ratio of rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_o=100$ nm & different inclination	76
35	Ratio of rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_o=200$ nm & different inclination	77
36	Ratio of rupture time as function of wavelength at $h_o=300$ nm & different inclination	78
37	Ratio of rupture time as function of mean film thickness at dominant wavelength different inclination	78
38	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1 at $\theta=0$ and $h_o=10$ nm. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=4.399$	79
39	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1 at $\theta=45$ and $h_o=10$ nm. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=4.399$	80
40	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1 at $\theta=45$ and $h_o=50$ nm. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=4.397$	80
41	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1, $h_o=50$ nm, $\theta=60$ & $k=1.0$. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=13.898$	81
42	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1, $h_o=100$ nm, $\theta=0$. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=4.4$	81
43	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1 at $\theta=45$ and $h_o=100$ nm. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=4.398$	82

44	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1 at $\theta=45$, $h_0=200$ nm and $k=0.9$. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=101.408$	82
45	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1 at $\theta=0$ and $h_0=300$ nm. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=4.458$	83
46	Film profile at different times for van der Waals and gravity system. The initial amplitude is 0.1 at $\theta=45$ and $h_0=300$ nm. The rupture proceeds explosively at $T_N=936$.	83
B1	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=10$ nm & $\theta=90$	108
B2	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=50$ nm & $\theta=0$	109
B3	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=50$ nm & $\theta=10$	109
B4	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=50$ nm & $\theta=45$	110
B5	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=50$ nm & $\theta=60$	110
B6	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=50$ nm & $\theta=90$	111
B7	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=100$ nm & $\theta=0$	111
B8	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=100$ nm & $\theta=10$	112
B9	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=100$ nm & $\theta=45$	112
B10	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=100$ nm & $\theta=60$	113
B11	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=100$ nm & $\theta=90$	113
B12	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=200$ nm & $\theta=0$	114

B13	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300\text{ nm}$ & $\theta=0$	114
B14	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300\text{nm}$ & $\theta=10$	115
B15	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300\text{nm}$ & $\theta=45$	115
B16	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300\text{nm}$ & $\theta=60$	116
B17	Nondimensional time of rupture as function of wavelength at $h_0=300\text{nm}$ & $\theta=90$	116
B18	Growth rate as function of wavelength at $\theta =0$ & $h_0=50\text{ nm}$ from linear theory	117
B19	Growth rate as function of wavelength at $\theta =90$ & $h_0=30\text{ nm}$ from linear theory	117

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$A (A')$	Hamakar constant.
G	constant defined in Eq.(3.10)
$H, (h)$	thickness of thin film.
(h_o)	mean film thickness.
$k \quad Q_v$	wavenumber of perturbations.
k_n	neutral wavenumber from linear theory.
k_m	dominate wavenumber from linear theory.
n	number of spatial grids employed in numerical solutions.
$P(p)$	hydrodynamic pressure inside the film.
P_o	pressure in the gas.
S	capillary number.
$T,(t)$	time coordinate.
$T_L , (t_L)$	time of rupture from linear theory.
$T_N , (t_N)$	time of rupture from nonlinear theory.
$T_{mL} , (t_{mL})$	minimum time of rupture from linear theory .
$T_{mN} , (t_{mN})$	minimum time of rupture from nonlinear theory.
$U,(u)$	x-component of the velocity vector.
$W,(w)$	z-component of the velocity vector.
$X,(x)$	spatial coordinate in the longitude direction.
$Z,(z)$	spatial coordinate in the longitude direction.

Greek Symbols



σ	interfacial tension
ε	amplitude of perturbation
ζ, τ	rescaled spatial and time coordinate for longwave approximation.
λ	wavelength of perturbation.
λ_n	neutral wavelength of perturbation.
λ_m	dominate wavelength of perturbation
μ	dynamic viscosity of film fluid.
ν	kinematics viscosity of the film fluid.
ρ	density of the film fluid.
Π	disjoining pressure
θ	angle of deviation of the plane
$\omega, (\omega_o)$	disturbance growth coefficient.
$\omega_m, (\omega_{mo})$	maximum disturbance of the growth coefficient.
$\phi (\Phi)$	van der Waals force

Subscripts

$H, (h)$	derivative with respect to the film thickness.
$T, (t)$	derivative with respect to the time .
$X, (x)$	derivative with respect to the $X(x)$.
$Z, (z)$	derivative with respect to the $Z(z)$.